Pairing-based cryptography 000 Lattice-based cryptography 000

Class-group-based cryptography 000

# What is cryptography? SIAM Mini-Conference 2025

Gaurish Korpal

University of Arizona

May 02, 2025

Pairing-based cryptography 000 Lattice-based cryptography 000

Class-group-based cryptography

1 Introduction

2 Pairing-based cryptography

 Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography



Pairing-based cryptography

Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography

# Introduction

 $\underset{O \oplus O}{\mathsf{Introduction}}$ 

Pairing-based cryptography 000 Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography

### Propaganda



Pairing-based cryptograph

Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography

#### Algebra primer



Pairing-based cryptography •00 Lattice-based cryptography 000

Class-group-based cryptography 000

# Pairing-based cryptography

Pairing-based cryptography

Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography

#### Elliptic curves

- $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ .
- Points  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  form a group with  $\mathcal{O}_E$  as identity.
- $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)[r]$ , that is  $\underbrace{P \oplus \cdots \oplus P}_{r-\text{times}} = [r]P = \mathcal{O}_E$ .
- ECDLP: Given Q = [m]P, find m.



For r prime to p there exists a non-degenerate distorted bilinear map:

$$e_r: E(\mathbb{F}_p)[r] imes E(\mathbb{F}_p)[r] o \mathbb{F}_{p^u}^{ imes}$$

u is called the embedding degree of E w.r.t. r.

- $e_r(aP, bQ) = e_r(P, Q)^{ab}$
- $e_r(Q, Q) \neq 1$
- If  $e_r(Q_1, Q_2) = 1$  for all  $Q_1 \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)[r]$  then  $Q_2 = \mathcal{O}_E$ .

Pairing-based cryptography

Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography

# Scout's honor!

#### Short Signature

- Supports non-interactive aggregation property: given a collection of signatures (σ<sub>1</sub>,...,σ<sub>n</sub>), anyone can produce a short signature (σ) that authenticates the entire collection.
- BLS short signature (2001) is relies on pairing-friendly curves.
- Ethereum blockchain uses BLS signatures.

#### Polynomial Commitment Scheme

- Allows one party to prove to another the correct evaluation of a polynomial at some set of points, without revealing any other information about the polynomial.
- KZG polynomial commitment (2010) relies on pairing-friendly curves.
- Irrespective of the degree of the polynomial, KZG commitment size is constant.

Pairing-based cryptography

Lattice-based cryptography •00 Class-group-based cryptography 000

# Lattice-based cryptography

Pairing-based cryptograph

Lattice-based cryptography 000 Class-group-based cryptography

### Lattices

- A lattice  $\Lambda$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given basis matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\Lambda = \{B\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$
- CVP: Find a vector closest to given vector  $\bm{v}\in\Lambda.$
- LWE: Given  $A \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_p^m$  such that  $A \cdot \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b}$  find  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  for unknown error  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_p^m$ .
- LWE↔CVP: The lattice vector A · s with distance e is almost always a vector closest to b.

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  be a monic polynomial of degree n and consider the ring  $R := \mathbb{Z}[t]/f$  and ideal  $I \subset R$ .

 $(R,+) \longleftrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^n,+) \quad \text{and} \quad I \longleftrightarrow \Lambda$ 

Multiplicative closure property of ideal lattice results in bonus geometric symmetries.



- *I* ⊆ *R* is called an ideal if it is a subgroup of (*R*, +) that absorbs multiplication by elements of *R*.
- If  $I = \alpha R$  then I is principal ideal.
- $\mathbb{Z}[t]/f = \{g \mod f \mid g \in \mathbb{Z}[t]\}$ where deg $(g \mod f) < \deg(f)$ .

Pairing-based cryptograph

Lattice-based cryptography

Class-group-based cryptography

# Bend, don't break!

#### Post-Quantum Cryptography

- Symmetric cryptography do not rely on mathematics vulnerable to quantum computers.
- Security of common key exchange and digital signature schemes rely on hardness of factorization and discrete logarithm, vulnerable to Shor's quantum algorithm.
- Cryptographic Suite for Algebraic Lattices (CRYSTALS) is one of the first standardized PQC scheme (2024).

### Fully Homomorphic Encryption

- Homomorphic refers to homomorphism in algebra: φ(a ⊕ b) = φ(a) ⊗ φ(b)
- Allows computations to be performed on encrypted data without first having to decrypt it.
- Gentry constructed the first ever FHE scheme using ideal lattices (2009).
- All known fully-homomorphic encryption schemes with compact ciphertexts use lattice techniques.

Pairing-based cryptography

Lattice-based cryptography 000

Class-group-based cryptography • 00

# Class-group-based cryptography

Pairing-based cryptograph

Lattice-based cryptography 000 Class-group-based cryptography  $\circ \circ \circ$ 

### Imaginary quadratic orders

- Let D < 0 be such that D ≡ 0, 1 (mod 4). Then the ring Z[ω] = Z + Zω where ω = D+√D/2 is called an imaginary quadratic order of discriminant D.</li>
- The field of fractions is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .
- A fractional ideal of Z[ω] is a subset J ⊂ Q(√D) such that aJ is an ideal of Z[ω] for some a ∈ N.
- J is invertible if there is fractional ideal J' such that  $JJ' := \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \mid a_i \in J, b_i \in J'\} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega].$ 
  - The class group Cl(D) of Z[ω] is the quotient group of invertible fractional ideals by principal ideals with ideal multiplication.
  - It is a composite order group of unknown order with a subgroup of known order where the DL is easy.



DDH for CI(D) can be characterized as a HSM since it is hard to determine if a given element is a member of CI(D).

https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/1466.pdf

Pairing-based cryptograph

Lattice-based cryptography 000

Class-group-based cryptography  $\circ \circ \bullet$ 

# Rest assured!

#### Multi-Party Computation

- Allows a group of mutually distrustful parties to compute a joint function on their inputs without revealing any information beyond the result of the computation.
- Class groups were first proposed as an alternative to ECC, but CL attack broke it.
- Ideas from the CL attack make class groups well-suited for MPC protocols that require a one-time transparent setup with minimal interaction among parties.

# Verifiable Delay Function

- Allows a prover to show a verifier that a certain amount of time running a function was spent, and do it in a way that the verifier can check the result quickly.
- Groups of unknown order are great candidates for VDF construction.
- Class groups are one of the most popular choice because the can be generated without trusted setup (Wesolowski, 2018)

### Thank you!

